arXiv:1503.06265v1 [math.AP] 21 Mar 2015

The Cauchy problem for a higher order shallow water type equation on the circle

Wei Yan
Department of Mathematics and information science, Henan Normal University,
Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P.R.China
Email:yanwei19821115@sina.cn

Yongsheng Li
Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology
Email: Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, P. R. China

and

Jianhua Huang
College of Science, National University of Defense and Technology,
Changsha,P. R. China 410073

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem for a higher order shallow water type equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + \partial_x^{2j+1}u - \partial_x^{2j+3}u + 3uu_x - 2u_xu_{xx} - uu_{xxx} = 0,$$

where $x \in \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi$ and $j \in N^+$. Firstly, we prove that the Cauchy problem for the shallow water type equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq -\frac{j-2}{2}$ for arbitrary initial data. By using the I-method, we prove that the Cauchy problem for the shallow water type equation is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $\frac{2j+1-j^2}{2j+1} < s \leq 1$. Our results improve the result of A. A. Himonas, G. Misiolek (Communications in partial Differential Equations, 23(1998), 123-139;Journal of Differential Equations, 161(2000), 479-495.)

Keywords: Periodic higher-order shallow water type equation; Cauchy problem; Low regularity

Short Title: Cauchy problem for a shallow water type equation

AMS Subject Classification: 35G25

Corresponding author: W. YAN, Email: yanwei19821115@sina.cn

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem for a higher order shallow water type equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + \partial_x^{2j+1}u - \partial_x^{2j+3}u + 3uu_x - 2u_xu_{xx} - uu_{xxx} = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{T} = \mathbf{R}/2\pi, \tag{1.2}$$

which is considered as the higher modification of the Camassa-Holm equation. Rewrite (1.1) as follows:

$$u_t + \partial_x^{2j+1}u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) + \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1}\left[u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2\right] = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

which was derived by Camassa and Holm as a nonlinear model for water wave motion in shallow channels with the aid of an asymptotic expansion directly in the Hamiltonian for Euler equations [6, 8]. Omitting the last term yields

$$u_t + \partial_x^{2j+1}u + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

When $j = 1$, equation (1.1) reduces to the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x(u^2) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

Kenig et. al. [21, 22] proved that $s = -3/4$ is the critical Sobolev index for the KdV equation in real line and proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is locally well-posed in $H^s(0, 2\pi\lambda)$ with $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Bourgain [4] proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is ill-posed in $H^s(0, 2\pi\lambda)$ with $s < -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Colliander et.al. [7] proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is globally well-posed in $H^s(0, 2\pi\lambda)$ with $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\lambda \geq 1$. Kappeler and Topalov [17, 18] proved the global well-posedness of the KdV and the defocusing mKV equations in $H^s(0, 2\pi\lambda)$ for respectively $s \geq -1$ and $s \geq 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ with a solution-map which is continuous from $H^{-1}(0, 2\pi\lambda)(\, L^2(0, 2\pi\lambda))$ into $C(R; H^{-1}(0, 2\pi\lambda))$ $(C(R; L^2(0, 2\pi\lambda)))$ with $\lambda \geq 1$. Molinet [25, 27] proved that the Cauchy problem for the periodic KdV equation is ill-posed in $H^s(0, 2\pi\lambda)$ with $s < -1$ and $\lambda \geq 1$ in the sense that the solution-map associated with the KdV equation is discontinuous for the $H^s(T)$ topology for $s < -1$.

Lots of people have investigated the Cauchy problem for (1.3), for instance, see [5, 6, 8, 11–13, 19, 20, 24, 26, 30–32]. Himonas and Misiolek [11] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed for small initial data in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$ and globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbf{T})$. Himonas and Misiolek [12] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with $j = 1$ is locally well-posed for arbitrary initial data in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$ and globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbf{T})$. Gorsky [10] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with $j = 1$ is locally well-posed in $H^{1/2}(\mathbf{T})$ for small initial data. Li and Yang [26] prove that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) with $j = 1$ is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ for $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ and globally well-posed in in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ for $\frac{2}{3} < s < 1$ with the aid of I-method. Olson [20] proved that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > s^{'}$, where $\frac{1}{4} \leq s^{'} < \frac{1}{2}$. Yan et.al [24] prove that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > -j + \frac{5}{4}$ and is globally well-posed in $H^1(\mathbf{R})$. Yan et. al [31] prove that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s = -j + \frac{5}{4}$, $j \geq 2, j \in N^+$ and ill-posed in $\dot{H}^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s < -j + \frac{5}{4}$.

In this paper, by establishing some bilinear estimates and the fixed point Theorem, we prove that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$; by using the I-method, we prove that the problem is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $\frac{2j+1-j^2}{2j+1} < s \leq 1$.

We give some notations before stating the main results. $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$ and $\epsilon^{'} = \frac{1}{100(2j+1)}$. C is a positive constant which may vary from line to line. $A \sim B$ means that $|B| \leq |A| \leq 4|B|$. $A \gg B$ means that $|A| \geq 4|B|$. $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$. $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$ .Let $\eta(t)$ the smooth function supported in $[-1, 2]$ and equals to 1 in $[0, 1]$. Let $\Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ be an even function such that $\Psi \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp}\Psi \subset [-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$, $\Psi = 1$ on $[-\frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{4}]$ and $v_k = \Psi(2^{-k}\xi) - \Psi(2^{-k+1}\xi)$.

For $k = k_1 + k_2$, we define

$$|k_{min}| = \{|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|\}, \quad |k_{max}| = \{|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|\}.$$

Throughout this paper, $\dot{Z} := Z - \{0\}$ and $\dot{Z}^+ := Z^+ - \{0\}$. Denote dk by the normalized counting measure on $\dot{Z}$:

$$\int a(k)dk = \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}} a(k).$$

Denote $\mathscr{F}_x f$ by the Fourier transformation of a function f defined on $[0, 2\pi]$ with the respect to the space variable

$$\mathscr{F}_x f(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx.$$

and we have the Fourier inverse transformation formula

$$f(x) = \int e^{ikx} \mathscr{F}_x f(k) dk = \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}} e^{ikx} \mathscr{F}_x f(k).$$

Denote $\mathscr{F}_t f$ by the Fourier transformation of a function f with the respect to the time variable

$$\mathscr{F}_t f(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-it\tau} f(t) dt.$$

and we have the Fourier inverse transformation formula

$$f(t) = \int e^{it\tau} \mathscr{F}_t f(\tau) d\tau.$$

We define

$$S(t)\phi(x) = \int e^{ikx} e^{itk^{2j+1}} \mathscr{F}_x \phi(k) dk.$$

We define the space-time Fourier transform $\mathscr{F} f(k, \tau)$ for $k \in \dot{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\mathscr{F} f(k, \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ikx} e^{-i\tau t} f(x, t) dx dt$$

and this transformation is inverted by

$$v(x, t) = \int \int e^{ikx} e^{i\tau t} \mathscr{F} f(k, \tau) dk d\tau.$$

We define

$$\mathscr{F}_x \left[J_x^s \phi\right](k) = \langle k \rangle^s \mathscr{F}_x \phi(k), \mathscr{F}_t \left[J_t^s \phi\right](\tau) = \langle \tau \rangle^s \mathscr{F}_x \phi(\tau).$$

Thus, by using the above definitions, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f\|_{L^2([0,2\pi])} = \|\mathscr{F}_x f\|_{L^2(dk)}, \\
&\int_0^{2\pi} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx = \int \mathscr{F}_x f(k) \overline{\mathscr{F}_x f(k)} dk, \\
&\mathscr{F}_x(fg) = \mathscr{F}_x f * \mathscr{F}_x g = \int \mathscr{F}_x f(k - k_1) \mathscr{F}_x g(k_1) dk_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$P(k) = k^{2j+1}, \sigma = \tau + P(k), \quad \sigma_l = \tau_l + P(k_l), \quad l = 1, 2.$$

For $s < 1$, we define

$$\mathscr{F}_x Iu(k) = m(k)\mathscr{F}_x u(k),$$

where $m(k) = \left(\frac{|k|}{N}\right)^{1-s}$ if $|k| > 2N$, $m(k) = 1$ if $|k| \leq N$. We define the Sobolev space $H^s(0, 2\pi)$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})} = \|\mathscr{F}_x f(k)\langle k\rangle^s\|_{L^2(k)}$$

and define the $X_{s,\,b}$ spaces for 2π-periodic KdV via the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})} = \left\|\langle k\rangle^s \left\langle \tau + P(k)\right\rangle^b \mathscr{F}u(k,\tau)\right\|_{L^2(k\tau)}.$$

and define the Y_s space defined via the norm

$$\|u\|_{Y_s} = \|u\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} + \|\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u(k,\tau)\|_{L^2(k)L^1(\tau)}$$

and define the Z_s space defined via the norm

$$\|u\|_{Z_s} = \|u\|_{X_{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} + \left\|\frac{\langle k\rangle^s \mathscr{F}u(k,\tau)}{\langle \tau + P(k)\rangle^{1/2}}\right\|_{L^2(k)L^1(\tau)}.$$

We define

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^\delta} = \inf\left\{\|v\|_{X_{s,\,b}} \qquad v|_{[0,\,\delta]} = u\right\},$$
$$\|u\|_{Y_s^\delta} = \inf\left\{\|v\|_{Y_s} \qquad v|_{[0,\,\delta]} = u\right\}.$$

The main result of this paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s \geq -\frac{j-2}{2}$ and u_0 be 2π-periodic function and zero x-mean. Then the Cauchy problems (1.1)(1.2) are locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\frac{2j+1-j^2}{2j+1} < s \leq 1$ and u_0 be 2π-periodic function and zero x-mean. Then the Cauchy problem (1.1)(1.2) is globally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$. More precisely, for any $T > 0$, let u_0 be 2π-periodic function and zero x-mean, then the Cauchy problems (1.1)(1.2) are globally well-posed on $[0,T]$ in $H^s(\mathbf{T})$ with $\frac{2j+1-j^2}{2j+1} < s \leq 1$. Moreover,*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s} \leq CT^{\frac{1-s}{j-f(j)(1-s)}} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^{\frac{j}{j-f(j)(1-s)}}, \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$f(j) = \frac{(2j+1)}{j - 3(2j+1)\epsilon}.$$

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we establish the bilinear estimate. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 5, we give the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we make some preliminaries which are crucial in establishing the Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1. *Let* u_l *with* $l = 1, 2$ *be* $L^2(\dot{Z} \times \mathbf{R})$*-real valued functions. Then for any* $(l_1, l_2) \in \mathbf{N}^2$

$$\|(\Psi_{l_1} u_1) * (\Psi_{l_2} u_2)\|_{L^2_{xt}} \leq C \left(2^{l_1} \wedge 2^{l_2}\right)^{1/2} \left(2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}} \|\Psi_{l_1} u_1\|_{L^2} \|\Psi_{l_2} u_2\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. As the proof of [4, 28], we can assume that $\operatorname{supp} u_l \subset \left\{(\tau, k) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z}^+\right\}$. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz in (τ_1, k_1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\Psi_{l_1} u_1) * (\Psi_{l_2} u_2)\|^2_{L^2} \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}_\tau} \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\tau_1}} \sum_{k_1 \in \dot{Z}} (\Psi_{l_1} u_1)(\tau_1, k_1)(\Psi_{l_2} u_2)(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1) d\tau_1 \right|^2 d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_\tau \sum_{k \in \dot{Z}} \alpha(\tau, k) \int_{\mathbf{R}_{\tau_1}} \sum_{k_1 \in \dot{Z}} \left|(\Psi_{l_1} u_1)(\tau_1, k_1)(\Psi_{l_2} u_2)(\tau - \tau_1, k - k_1)\right|^2 d\tau_1 d\tau \\
&\leq C \sup_{\tau \in \mathbf{R},\, k \in \dot{Z}} \alpha(\tau, k) \|\Psi_{l_1} u_1\|^2_{L^2} \|\Psi_{l_2} u_2\|^2_{L^2}, \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha(\tau, k) \leq C \# \Lambda_1(\tau, k),$$

here

$$\Lambda_1(\tau, k) = \left\{(\tau_1, k_1) \in \mathbf{R} \times \dot{Z}^+ / k - k_1 \in \dot{Z}^+, \langle\sigma_1\rangle \sim 2^{l_1}, \langle\sigma_2\rangle \sim 2^{l_2}\right\}$$

For fixed $\tau, \xi \neq 0$, We define $M^{'} = \tau + (-1)^j \frac{\xi^{2j+1}}{4^j}$ and let E_1 and E_2 be the projections of Λ_1 onto the k_1-axis and τ_1-axis, respectively. It is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\tau + (-1)^n \frac{k^{2j+1}}{4^j}\right) - \left(\tau_1 + (-1)^j k_1^{2j+1}\right) - \left(\tau_2 + (-1)^j k_2^{2j+1}\right) \\
&= (-1)^{j+1}\left[k_1^{2j+1} + k_2^{2j+1} - \frac{k^{2j+1}}{4^j}\right] = (-1)^{j+1} k \left(k_1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)^2 F(k, k_1), \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&F(k, k_1) \\
&= C_{2j+1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2j-2} k^{2j-2} + C_{2j+1}^4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2j-2} k^{2j-4}\left(k_1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)^2 + \cdots + C_{2j+1}^{2j}\left(k_1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)^{2j-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.3), we have that there exist two constant $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\left|C_1(2^{l_1} + 2^{l_2}) - M^{'}\right|}{|kF(k, k_1)|} \leq \frac{3}{4}(k_1 - k_2)^2 \leq \frac{\left|C_2(2^{l_1} + 2^{l_2}) + M^{'}\right|}{|kF(k, k_1)|}, \qquad (2.4)$$

When $k^{2j+1} > 2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}$, from (2.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\#E_2 &\leq \text{mes } \mathrm{E}_2 + 1 \leq 2\left[\frac{\left|C_1(2^{l_1} + 2^{l_2}) + M^{'}\right|}{|kF(k, k_1)|} - \frac{\left|C_2(2^{l_1} + 2^{l_2}) - M^{'}\right|}{|kF(k, k_1)|}\right]^{1/2} + 1 \\
&\leq C\left(\frac{(2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2})}{|k^{2j-1}|}\right)^{1/2} + 1 \leq C\left(2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j+1}}. \qquad (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

When $0 \leq k^{2j+1} \leq 2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}$, since $0 \leq k_1 \leq k$, we have that

$$\#E_2 \leq \#\left\{k_1, \quad 0 \leq k_1^{2j+1} \leq 2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}\right\} \leq C\left(2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j+1}}. \qquad (2.6)$$

From (2.2), it is easily checked that

$$\#E_1 \leq \text{mes } E_1 + 1 \leq C\left(2^{l_1} \wedge 2^{l_2}\right). \qquad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.2) with (2.5)-(2.7), we have that

$$\|(\Psi_{l_1}u_1) * (\Psi_{l_2}u_2)\|_{L^2} \leq C\left(2^{l_1} \wedge 2^{l_2}\right)^{1/2}\left(2^{l_1} \vee 2^{l_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(2j+1)}} \|\Psi_{l_1}u_1\|_{L^2}\|\Psi_{l_2}u_2\|_{L^2}. \qquad (2.8)$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $v(x,t)$ be a 2π-periodic function. Then*

$$\|v\|_{L^4_{xt}} \leq C\|v\|_{X_{0, \frac{(j+1)}{2(2j+1)}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})}. \qquad (2.9)$$

Proof. By using the triangle inequality, let $l_1 = l + l_2$ with $l \in N$, by using (2.1), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v\|_{L^4_{xt}}^2 = \|v^2\|_{L^2} = \|\mathscr{F}v * \mathscr{F}v\|_{L^2} \le \sum_{l_1\ge 0}\sum_{l_2\ge 0} \|\Psi_{l_1}|\mathscr{F}v|\Psi_{l_2}|\mathscr{F}v|\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\sum_{l_1\ge 0}\sum_{l_2\ge 0} \|\Psi_{l_1}|\mathscr{F}v| * \Psi_{l_2}|\mathscr{F}v|\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\sum_{l\ge 0}\sum_{l_2\ge 0} 2^{l_2/2}2^{(l_2+l)/2(2j+1)}\left\|\Psi_{l_2+l}\mathscr{F}v\right\|_{L^2}\left\|\Psi_{l_2}\mathscr{F}v\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\sum_{l\ge 0}\sum_{l_2\ge 0} 2^{\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}l_2}\|\Psi_{l_2}\mathscr{F}v\|_{L^2}2^{-\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}l}2^{\frac{(j+1)(l_2+l)}{2(2j+1)}}\left\|\Psi_{l_2+l}\mathscr{F}v\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\sum_{l\ge 0}2^{-\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}l}\left(\sum_{l_2\ge 0}2^{\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}l_2}\|\Psi_{l_2}\mathscr{F}v\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2}\left(2^{\frac{(j+1)(l_2+l)}{2(2j+1)}}\left\|\Psi_{l_2+l}\mathscr{F}v\right\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{1/2}\\
&\le C\|v\|^2_{X_{0,\frac{(j+1)}{2(2j+1)}}([0,\,2\pi]\times\mathbf{R})}. \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.10), we have (2.9).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Remark: In line -3 of page 493 in [12], Himonas and Misiolek presented the conclusion of Lemma 2.2, however, the proof process is not given.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $v(x,t)$ be a 2π-periodic function. Then*

$$\|v\|_{X_{0,-\frac{(j+1)}{2(2j+1)}}(\mathbf{T}\times\mathbf{R})} \le C\|v\|_{L^{4/3}_{xt}} = \left(\int_0^{2\pi} v^{4/3}(x,t)dxdt\right)^{3/4}. \qquad (2.11)$$

Proof. Combining the Lemma 2.2 with the duality, we have Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}
&k = k_1 + k_2, \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2,\\
&\sigma = \tau + (-1)^j k^{2j+1}, \sigma_l = \tau_l + (-1)^j k_l^{2j+1}, l = 1, 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$3\max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \le |\sigma - \sigma_1 - \sigma_2| = \left|k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1}\right| \sim |k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.4, we refer the readers to Lemma 2.5 in [31].

Lemma 2.5. *For $k \in \dot{Z}$, $k_j \in \dot{Z}(j=1,2)$ and dyadic $M \geq 1$ and $\epsilon^{'} = \frac{1}{100(2j+1)}$, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{mes}\left\{\mu \in \mathbf{R}: \quad |\mu| \sim M, \mu = k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1} + O(\langle |k_{\min}|k_{\max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon^{'}})\right\}\\&\leq CM^{\frac{100j+1}{50(2j+1)}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $|k_1| \geq |k_2|$. When $|k| \geq |k_1|$ which yields that $|k_1| \leq |k| \leq 2|k_1|$, from

$$\mu = k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1} + O(\langle |k_{\min}|k_{\max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon^{'}}), \tag{2.13}$$

we have that $C_1|k|^{2j} \leq |\mu| \leq C_2|k|^{2j+1}$ since $k_1, k_2 \in \dot{Z}$. Thus, we have that $|\mu| \sim M \sim |k|^p$, $p \in [2j, 2j+1]$. Thus, $|k_1^{2j-1}k_2| \sim M^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$, $p \in [2j, 2j+1]$. Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{mes}\left\{\mu \in \mathbf{R}: \quad |\mu| \sim M, \mu = k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1} + O(\langle |k_{\min}||k_{\max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon^{'}})\right\}\\&\leq CM^{\frac{2j}{2j+1}}M^{\epsilon^{'}} \leq CM^{\frac{200j+1}{100(2j+1)}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

When $|k_1| \geq |k|$, from (2.13), we have that $C_1|k_1|^{2j} \leq |\mu| \leq C_2|k_1|^{2j+1}$ since $k_1, k_2 \in \dot{Z}$. Thus, we have that $|\mu| \sim M \sim |k_1|^p$, $p \in [2j, 2j+1]$. Thus, $|k_1^{2j-1}k| \sim M^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$, $p \in [2j, 2j+1]$. Consequently, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{mes}\left\{\mu \in \mathbf{R}: \quad |\mu| \sim M, \mu = k^{2j+1} - k_1^{2j+1} - k_2^{2j+1} + O(\langle |k_{\min}||k_{\max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon^{'}})\right\}\\&\leq CM^{\frac{2j}{2j+1}}M^{\epsilon^{'}} \leq CM^{\frac{200j+1}{100(2j+1)}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.6. *Let ϕ be 2π-periodic function. Then*

$$\|\eta(t)S(t)\phi\|_{Y_s^\delta} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. To obtain (2.16), it suffices to prove that

$$\left\|\eta(t)\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)S(t)\phi\right\|_{Y_s} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.17}$$

From Lemma 7.1 of [7], we have that

$$\left\|\eta(t)\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)S(t)\phi\right\|_{Y_s} \leq C\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\phi\|_{H^s} \leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.18}$$

We have completed the Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. *Let F be 2π-periodic function. Then*

$$\left\|\eta(t)\int_0^t S(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{Y_s^\delta} \leq C\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)F\|_{Z_s}. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. To obtain (2.19), it suffices to prove that

$$\left\|\eta(t)\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\int_0^t S(t-\tau)F(\tau)d\tau\right\|_{Y_s^\delta} \leq C\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)F\right\|_{Z_s} \tag{2.20}$$

which follows from Lemma 7.2 of [7].

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.7.

Lemma 2.8. *Let*

$$\Omega(k) = \left\{\mu\in \mathbf{R}: \quad |\mu|\sim M, \mu = k^{2j+1}-k_1^{2j+1}-k_2^{2j+1}+O(\langle|k_{\min}|k_{\max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon})\right\}$$

Then

$$\int \langle\mu\rangle^{-1}\chi_{\Omega(k)}(\mu)d\mu \leq C. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 2.6 with the proof of page 737 in [7], we have Lemma 2.10.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $s\in\mathbf{R}$ and $\delta\in(0,1)$, then for $-\frac{1}{2}<b<b^{'}\leq 0$ or $0\leq b<b^{'}<\frac{1}{2}$, we have that*

$$\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u\right\|_{X_{0,\,b}} \leq C\delta^{b-b^{'}}\|u\|_{X_{0,\,b^{'}}}, \tag{2.22}$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.9, we refer the readers to Lemma 1.10 of [10].

Lemma 2.10. *For $u\in X_{\sigma,b}^{\delta}$ there exists $\tilde{u}$ with $u|_{[0,\delta]}=\tilde{u}$, such that for $s\leq\sigma$, we have that*

$$\|u\|_{X_{s,b}^{\delta}} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{s,b}}.$$

For the proof of Lemma 2.10, we refer the readers to Lemma 1.6 of [10].

Lemma 2.11. *Let $s\in\mathbf{R}$ and $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$ and*

$$F(k,\tau) = \langle k\rangle^s\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau), \tag{2.23}$$

where $F\in L^2$. Then

$$\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{F}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4} \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon}\|F\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. From (2.23) and Lemmas 2.2, 2.9, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{F}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4}=\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)J_x^s\tilde{u}\right\|_{L^4}\\
&\leq C\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)J_x^s\tilde{u}\right\|_{X_{0,\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon}\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)J_x^s\tilde{u}\right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon}\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&=C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon}\|F\|_{L^2}. \qquad (2.25)
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.11.

Remark: Lemma 2.11 improves the result of Lemma 3.2 in [12] with $\mu=2j+1$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let*

$$\sigma=\tau+(-1)^jk^{2j+1},\sigma_l=\tau_l+(-1)^jk_l^{2j+1},l=1,2.$$

and $s\in\mathbf{R}$ *and* $0<\epsilon<\frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$ *and*

$$G_l(k_l,\tau_l)=\langle k_l\rangle^s\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l),l=1,2, \qquad (2.26)$$

where $G_l\in L^2,l=1,2.$ *Then*

$$\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^2G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2}\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^2\|G_l\|_{L^2}. \qquad (2.27)$$

Proof. By using Lemmas 2.3, 2.4,2.11, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right\|_{L^2}\\
&= \left\| \left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) J_x^s \tilde{u}_2\right) \mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1) \right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon} \left\| \left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) J_x^s \tilde{u}_2\right) \mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1) \right\|_{X_{0,-\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon} \left\| \left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) J_x^s \tilde{u}_2\right) \mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1) \right\|_{L_{xt}^{4/3}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon} \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1)\right\|_{L^2} \left\| J_x^s \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \tilde{u}_2 \right\|_{L_{xt}^4}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-\epsilon} \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1)\right\|_{L^2} \left\| J_x^s \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \tilde{u}_2 \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1)\right\|_{L^2} \left\| J_x^s \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \tilde{u}_2 \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(G_1)\right\|_{L^2} \left\| J_x^s \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \tilde{u}_2 \right\|_{X_{0,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|G_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.12.

3. Bilinear estimates

In this section, we establish some important bilinear estimates which are the core of this paper

Lemma 3.1. *Let $u_l(x,t)$ with $l=1,2$ which are zero x-mean for all t be 2π- periodic functions of x and $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$. For $\epsilon < \frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$, then we have that*

$$\left\| \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left[\partial_x \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) u_l \right] \right] \right\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2$ be the extension of u, u_1, u_2, respectively, according to Lemma 2.10, we have that

$$\|u\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}, \|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} = \|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad l=1,2.$$

By duality and the Plancherel identity, for $u \in X^{\delta}_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}$, to obtain (3.1), it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \left| \frac{kk_1k_2}{1+k^2} \mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l)\right| dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau$$
$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|u\|_{X^{\delta}_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}} \prod_{l=1}^{2}\|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} = C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}} \prod_{l=1}^{2}\|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.2}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l) \geq 0 (l = 1, 2)$ and $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau) \geq 0$. Let

$$F(k,\tau) = \langle k\rangle^{-s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau),$$
$$F_l(k_l,\tau_l) = \langle k_l\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l), \quad l = 1, 2,$$
$$K_1(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau) = \frac{|kk_1k_2|\langle k\rangle^{s}}{(1+k^2)\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}.$$

To obtain (3.2), it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} K_1(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)F(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}F_l(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau$$
$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|F\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|F_l\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.3}$$

From the mean zero condition, we can assume that $k \neq 0, k_l \neq 0 (l = 1, 2)$.

Since $\min\{|k|, |k_1|, |k_2|\} \geq 1$, from Lemma 2.4, we have that one of the following three cases must occur:

$$(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j},$$
$$(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j},$$
$$(c): \quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}.$$

When $(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, we have that

$$K_1(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau) = \frac{|kk_1k_2|\langle k\rangle^{s}}{(1+k^2)\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}} \leq C\frac{|k|^{s-\frac{3}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}k_l^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}; \tag{3.4}$$

if $\frac{2-j}{2} \leq s \leq \frac{3}{2}$, from (3.4), we have that

$$K_1(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau) \leq \frac{C}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}; \tag{3.5}$$

if $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$, since $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_1(k_1, \tau_1, k, \tau) &\leq C \frac{|k|^{s-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\max\{|k_1|, |k_2|\}\right]^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s} \left[\min\{|k_1|, |k_2|\}\right]^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle \sigma_l \rangle^{1/2}} \\
&\leq C \frac{\left[\max\{|k_1|, |k_2|\}\right]^{-\frac{1+j}{2}} \left[\min\{|k_1|, |k_2|\}\right]^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle \sigma_l \rangle^{1/2}} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle \sigma_l \rangle^{1/2}}; \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

from (3.5)-(3.6), by using the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} K_1(k_1, \tau_1, k, \tau) F(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l) dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{F(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle \sigma_l \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}(F) \right\|_{L^2_{xt}} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1} \left(\frac{F_l}{\langle \sigma_l \rangle^{1/2}} \right) \right\|_{L^4_{xt}} \\
&\leq C \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|F_l\|_{L^2}. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, by using the proof similar to (3.5)-(3.6), we have that

$$
K_1(k_1, \tau_1, k, \tau) \leq \frac{C}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{1/2} \langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}}; \qquad (3.8)
$$

by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.12, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} F(k, \tau) \left(\langle \sigma \rangle^{-1/2} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right) dk d\tau \\
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} F(k, \tau) \left(\langle \sigma \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right) dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \|F(k, \tau)\|_{L^2_{k\tau}} \left\| \langle \sigma \rangle^{-1/2+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right\|_{L^2_{k\tau}} \\
&\leq C \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \|F\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|F_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(c): \quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, this case can be proved similarly to case $(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $u_l(x,t)$ *with* $l=1,2$ *which are zero* x*-mean for all* t *be* 2π*- periodic functions of* x *and* $s\geq -\frac{j}{2}$*. For* $\epsilon<\frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$*, then we have that*

$$\left\|\partial_x\left[\prod_{l=1}^{2}\left[\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_l\right]\right]\right\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,-\frac{1}{2}}}\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{u}_1,\tilde{u}_2$ be the extension of u,u_1,u_2, respectively, according to Lemma 2.10, we have that

$$\|u\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}=\|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}},\|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}=\|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}},\quad l=1,2.$$

By duality and the Plancherel identity, for $u\in X^{\delta}_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}$, it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\left|k\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l)\right|dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|u\|_{X^{\delta}_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|u_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}=C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{-s,\frac{1}{2}}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l)\geq 0(l=1,2)$ and $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau)\geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}&F(k,\tau)=\langle k\rangle^{-s}\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau),\\&F_l(k_l,\tau_l)=\langle k_l\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l),\quad l=1,2,\\&K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)=\frac{|k|\langle k\rangle^{s}}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^{s}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

To obtain (3.10), it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)F(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}F_l(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|F\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|F_l\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

From the mean zero condition, we can assume that $k\neq 0,k_l\neq 0(l=1,2)$. Since

$\min\{|k|,|k_1|,|k_2|\}\geq 1$, from Lemma 2.4, we have that one of the following three cases

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j},\\
&(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j},\\
&(c): \quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, we have that

$$K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)=\frac{|k|\langle k\rangle^s}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^2\langle k_l\rangle^s\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\leq C\frac{|k|^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^2 k_l^{-\frac{j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}; \tag{3.12}$$

if $-\frac{j}{2}\leq s\leq -\frac{1}{2}$, from (3.12), we have that

$$K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq\frac{C}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}; \tag{3.13}$$

if $s\geq -\frac{1}{2}$, since $s\geq -\frac{j}{2}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq C\frac{|k|^{s+\frac{1}{2}}\left[\max\{|k_1|,|k_2|\}\right]^{-\frac{j}{2}-s}\left[\min\{|k_1|,|k_2|\}\right]^{-\frac{j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\\
&\leq C\frac{\left[\max\{|k_1|,|k_2|\}\right]^{-\frac{j-1}{2}}\left[\min\{|k_1|,|k_2|\}\right]^{-\frac{j}{2}-s}}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\\
&\leq\frac{C}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}};
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

from (3.13)-(3.14), by using the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality and Lemma 2.11, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)F(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 F_l(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\
&\leq C\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{F(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2F_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\
&\leq C\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}(F)\right\|_{L^2_{xt}}\prod_{l=1}^2\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{F_l}{\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4_{xt}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|F\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^2\|F_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.15}
$$

When $(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, by using the proof similar to (3.13)-(3.14), we have that

$$K_2(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq\frac{C}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}; \tag{3.16}$$

by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 2.12, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} K_2(k_1, \tau_1, k, \tau) F(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l) dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} F(k, \tau) \left(\langle \sigma \rangle^{-1/2} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right) dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau k}} F(k, \tau) \left(\langle \sigma \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right) dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \| F \|_{L^2} \left\| \langle \sigma \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} F_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle \sigma_2 \rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \| F \|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \| F_l \|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $(c): \quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$, this case can be proved similarly to case $(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $u_l(x,t)$ with $l = 1, 2$ which are zero x-mean for all t be 2π-periodic functions of x and $s \geq -\frac{j+2}{2}$. For $\epsilon < \frac{1}{10000(2j+1)}$, then we have that*

$$
\left\| \partial_x (1 - \partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left[\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) u_l \right] \right] \right\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,-\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \| u_l \|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.17}
$$

Lemma 3.3 can be proved similarly to Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $v_l(x,t)$ with $l = 1, 2$ which are zero x-mean for all t be 2π-periodic functions of x. For $s \geq \frac{2-j}{2}$, we have that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k k_1 k_2| \langle k \rangle^s}{\langle \sigma \rangle (1 + k^2)} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right) \tilde{v}_l \right)(k_l, \tau_l) dk_1 d\tau_1 \right\|_{(L^2(k) L^1(\tau))} \\
&\leq C \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 3\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \| v_l \|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}
$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{v}_1, \tilde{v}_2$ be the extension of v_1, v_2, respectively, according to Lemma 2.10, we have that

$$
\| v_l \|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}} = \| \tilde{v}_l \|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad l = 1, 2.
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{v}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l) \geq 0 (l = 1,2)$ and $\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{v}\right)(k,\tau) \geq 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_l(k_l,\tau_l) &= \langle k_l\rangle^s\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{v}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l), \quad l = 1,2, \\ K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau) &= \frac{|kk_1k_2|\langle k\rangle^s}{(1+k^2)\langle\sigma\rangle\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^s\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain (3.18), it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{(L^2(k)L^1(\tau))} \\ &\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|G_l\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Since $\min\{|k|,|k_1|,|k_2|\} \geq 1$, from Lemma 2.4, we know that one of the following three cases must occur:

$$\begin{aligned} (a): &\quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}, \\ (b): &\quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}, \\ (c): &\quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}. \end{aligned}$$

When $(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$. If $\langle\sigma_1\rangle \geq C|k_{min}|^{\epsilon'}|k_{max}|^{2j\epsilon'}$, in this case, by using the proof similar to (3.5)-(3.6), we have that

$$K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau) \leq \frac{|k|^{s-\frac{3}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\epsilon'}\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{C}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon\epsilon'}\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}; \tag{3.20}$$

by using (3.20), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Plancherel identity as well as

Lemmas 2.3, 2.13, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon\epsilon^{'}}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2(k)L^1(d\tau)}\\
&\leq C\left\|\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L_k^2L_\tau^2}\\
&\leq C\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{G_1}{\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\right)\right\|_{L_{xt}^4}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{G_2}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\right\|_{L_{xt}^4}\\
&\leq C\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{v}_1\right\|_{X_{s,\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}+\epsilon}}\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{v}_2\right\|_{X_{s,\frac{j+1}{2(2j+1)}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-3\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|\tilde{v}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2(2j+1)}-3\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|\tilde{v}_l\|_{X_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|G_l\|_{L^2};
\end{aligned}$$

If $\langle\sigma_2\rangle\geq C|k_{min}|^{\epsilon^{'}}|k_{max}|^{2j\epsilon^{'}}$, this case can be proved similarly to case $\langle\sigma_1\rangle\geq C|k_{min}|^{\epsilon}|k_{max}|^{\epsilon^{'}}$. $\blacksquare$
if $\langle\sigma_l\rangle\leq C|k_{min}|^{\epsilon}|k_{max}|^{2j\epsilon^{'}}, l=1,2$, in this case we have that

$$\mu=k^{2j+1}-k_1^{2j+1}-k_2^{2j+1}+O(\langle|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon^{'}}) \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq C\frac{|k|^{s-\frac{3}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}|k_l|^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}$$

by using the proof similar to (3.5)-(3.6), we have that

$$K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq\frac{C}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}. \tag{3.22}$$

Consequently, by using (3.14) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality with respect to τ and

Lemmas 2.8, 2.11, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}\chi_{\Omega(k)}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2(kL^1(d\tau)}\\
&\leq C\left\|\left(\int\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\chi_{\Omega(k)}(\mu)d\tau\right)^{1/2}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2_{k\tau}}\\
&\leq C\left(\int\langle\sigma\rangle^{-1}\chi_{\Omega(k)}(\mu)d\tau\right)^{1/2}\left\|\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2_{k\tau}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|G_l\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\Omega(k)=\left\{\mu\in\mathbf{R}:\quad|\mu|\sim M,\mu=C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}+O(\langle|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}\rangle^{\epsilon'})\right\}.$$

When $(b):\quad|\sigma_1|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$. by using the proof similar to (3.5)-(3.6), we have that

$$K_3(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\leq C\frac{|k|^{s-\frac{3}{2}}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle k_l\rangle^{\frac{2-j}{2}-s}}{\langle\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}}\leq\frac{C}{\langle\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}},\tag{3.24}$$

by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality with respect to τ and Lemma 2.12, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2(k)L^1(\tau)}\\
&\leq C\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}\frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2}G_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2_{k\tau}}\\
&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|G_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

When $(c):\quad|\sigma_2|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$. This case can be proved similarly to $(b):\quad|\sigma_1|=\max\{|\sigma|,|\sigma_1|,|\sigma_2|\}\geq C|k_{min}||k_{max}|^{2j}$.

We have completed the proof Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $v_l(x,t)$ with $l=1,2$ which are zero x-mean for all t be 2π- periodic* *functions of* x. *For* $s \geq -\frac{j}{2}$, *we have that*

$$\left\| \int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|\langle k\rangle^s}{\langle\sigma\rangle} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)v_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1d\tau_1 \right\|_{(L^2(k)L^1(\tau))}$$

$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|v_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.25}$$

By using the proof similar to Lemma 3.4, we can obtain Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $v_l(x,t)$ *with* $l = 1, 2$ *which are zero* x*-mean for all* t *be* 2π*- periodic functions of* x. *For* $s \geq -\frac{j}{2}$, *we have that*

$$\left\| \int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2 \\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|\langle k\rangle^s}{(1+k^2)\langle\sigma\rangle} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)v_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l)dk_1d\tau_1 \right\|_{L^2(k)L^1(\tau)}$$

$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|v_l\|_{X^{\delta}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{3.26}$$

By using the proof similar to Lemma 3.4, we can obtain Lemma 3.6.

Lemma 3.7. *Let* $u_l(x,t)$ *with* $l = 1, 2$ *which are zero* x*-mean for all* t *be* 2π*-periodic functions of* x. *Then*

$$\left\| \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left[\partial_x \eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_l\right]\right] \right\|_{Z_s} \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|u_l\|_{Y^{\delta}_s}. \tag{3.27}$$

Combining Lemma 3.1 with Lemma 3.4, we have Lemma 3.7.

Lemma 3.8. *Let* $u(x,t)$ *with* $l = 1, 2$ *which are zero* x*-mean for all* t *be* 2π*-periodic functions of* x. *Then*

$$\left\| \partial_x \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left[\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_l\right]\right] \right\|_{Z_s} \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|u_l\|_{Y^{\delta}_s}. \tag{3.28}$$

Combining Lemma 3.2 with Lemma 3.5, we have Lemma 3.8.

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $u(x,t)$ *with* $l = 1, 2$ *which are zero* x*-mean for all* t *be* 2π*-periodic functions of* x. *Then*

$$\left\| \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} \left[\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left[\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_l\right]\right] \right\|_{Z_s} \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|u_l\|_{Y^{\delta}_s}. \tag{3.29}$$

Combining Lemma 3.3 with Lemma 3.6, we have Lemma 3.9.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. We define

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi(u)=\eta(t)S(t)\phi-\eta(t)\int_0^t S(t-t^{'})\eta(t^{'})A(u)dt^{'},\\&B=\left\{u\in Y_s^{\delta}:\quad \|u\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\leq 2C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\right\},\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

where

$$A(u)=\frac{1}{2}\partial_x\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2\right]+(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1}\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2+\frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_x)^2\right].$$

By using Lemmas 2.8-2.9, 3.7-3.9, for sufficiently small $\delta>0$, we have that

$$\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\leq\frac{1}{4},$$

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Phi(u)\|_{Y_s}\leq\|\eta(t)S(t)\phi\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}+\left\|-\frac{1}{2}\eta(t)\int_0^t S(t-t^{'})\eta(t^{'})A(u)dt^{'}\right\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\\&\leq C_1\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}+C\left\|\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)A(u)\right\|_{Z_s}\\&\leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}+C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|u\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}^2\\&\leq C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}+C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}^2\leq 2C\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

For $u,v\in B$, for sufficiently small $\delta>0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\Phi(u)-\Phi(v)\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\\&\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\left(\|u\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}+\|v\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\right)\|u-v\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\\&\leq 2C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbf{T})}\|u-v\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}\\&\leq\frac{1}{2}\|u-v\|_{Y_s^{\delta}}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

From (4.3), by using the fixed point Theorem, we have that there exists a u such that $\Phi(u)=u$. The proof of the remainder of Theorem 1.1 is standard.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Modified energy

In this section, we give the almost conserved law which can be used to extend the local solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) to the global solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1).

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $\frac{2-j}{2} \leq s < 1$ *and* u *be the solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) on* $[0,\delta]$. *Then*

$$\left|\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} \partial_x^3 (I\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)\left[I(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2 - (\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iu)^2\right]dxdt\right|$$
$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}N^{-j}\|Iu\|_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}^3. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. To obtain (5.1), it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|^3|\,|m(k)-m(k_1)m(k_2)|}{\prod_{l=1}^2 m(k_l)}$$
$$\times\left|\mathscr{F}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u})(\tau,k)\prod_{l=1}^2\mathscr{F}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l)(\tau_l,k_l)\right|dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau$$
$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}N^{-j}\|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}\prod_{l=1}^2\|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}}} = \|u\|_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \|\tilde{u}_l\|_{X_{1,\frac{1}{2}}} = \|u_l\|_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}, l=1,2.$$

Let

$$H_l(k_l,\tau_l) = \langle k_l\rangle\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}_l\right)(k_l,\tau_l), l=1,2,$$
$$H(k,\tau) = \langle k\rangle\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\mathscr{F}\left(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)\tilde{u}\right)(k,\tau).$$

To prove (5.2), it suffices to prove

$$\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|m(k)-m(k_1)m(k_2)|\,|k|^3 H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{m(k_1)m(k_2)\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle k\rangle\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\langle k_j\rangle}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau$$
$$\leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}N^{-j}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^2\|H_l\|_{L^2}. \tag{5.3}$$

We define $A = A_1\cup A_2\cup A_3$, where

$$A = \left\{(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\in\left(\dot{Z}\times\mathbf{R}\right)^2 : k=k_1+k_2, \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2, |k_1|\leq|k_2|, |k_2|\geq\frac{N}{2}\right\}$$
$$A_1 = \{(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\in A : |k_1|\ll|k_2|, |k_1|\leq N\}$$
$$A_2 = \{(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\in A : |k_1|\ll|k_2|, |k_1|> N\}$$
$$A_3 = \{(k_1,\tau_1,k,\tau)\in A : |k_1|\sim|k_2|\}.$$

The integrals corrsponding to $A_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ will be denoted by I_1, I_2, I_3. We consider cases

$$(a): \quad |\sigma| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{\min}||k_{\max}|^{2j},$$
$$(b): \quad |\sigma_1| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{\min}||k_{\max}|^{2j},$$
$$(c): \quad |\sigma_2| = \max\{|\sigma|, |\sigma_1|, |\sigma_2|\} \geq C|k_{\min}||k_{\max}|^{2j}.$$

1. Estimate of I_1. By using the mean value Theorem, we have that

$$m(k_1 + k_2) - m(k_1)m(k_2) = m'(\theta k_1 + k_2)k_1,$$

thus in region A_1, we have that $|\theta k_1 + k_2| \sim |k_2|$ which yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{m(k_1 + k_2) - m(k_1)m(k_2)}{m(k_1)m(k_2)}\right| &= \frac{|m(k_1 + k_2) - m(k_2)|}{m(k_2)} \\ &\leq \frac{m'(\theta k_1 + k_2)|k_1|}{m(k_2)} \leq \frac{C|k_1|}{|k_2|}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

When (a) is valid, by using (5.4), the Plancherel identity and Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1||k|^3 H(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{|k_2| \langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle k\rangle \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2} \langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-j}|k_1|^{-1/2} H(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle k\rangle \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2} \langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\ &\leq CN^{-j} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{H_l}{\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4_{xt}} \leq CN^{-j} \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|H_l\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

When (b) is valid, by using (5.4), the Plancherel identity and Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.12, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1||k|^3 H(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{|k_2| \langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle k\rangle \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2} \langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\ &\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-j}|k_1|^{-1/2} H(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2} \langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\ &\leq CN^{-j} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \frac{H(k, \tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\ &\leq CN^{-j} \left\|\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2\\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l, \tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2} \|H\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq CN^{-j} \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1} - 2\epsilon} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|H_l\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

When (c) is valid, this case can be proved similarly to case (b).

2. Estimate of I_2. In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{|m(k_1+k_2)-m(k_1)m(k_2)|}{m(k_1)m(k_2)} &\leq \frac{\max\{m(k_1+k_2),m(k_2)\}}{m(k_1)m(k_2)}\\ &\leq \frac{C}{m(k_1)} \leq C\left(\frac{|k_1|}{N}\right)^{-s}.\end{aligned}$$

When (a) is valid, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-s}|k|^3 N^s H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle k\rangle\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\langle k_l\rangle}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq C\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-s-\frac{3}{2}}N^s|k|^{1-j}H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau, \qquad (5.5)\end{aligned}$$

if $-s-\frac{3}{2}\leq 0$, by using the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, we have that (5.5) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{N^{-s-\frac{3}{2}}N^sN^{1-j}H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{H_l}{\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4_{xt}}\\ &\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|H_l\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

if $-s-\frac{3}{2}\geq 0$, since $s\geq\frac{2-j}{2}$, by using the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, we have that (5.5) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&C\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-s-\frac{3}{2}}N^s|k|^{1-j}H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq C\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-s-\frac{1}{2}-j}N^sH(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\substack{k\,=\,k_1+k_2\\ \tau\,=\,\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^{2}H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2}\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}dk_1d\tau_1dkd\tau\\ &\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{H_l}{\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4_{xt}} \leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^{2}\|H_l\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

When (b) is valid, by using (5.4) and the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-s}|k|^3 N^s H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle k\rangle\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}\langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq C\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-s-\frac{3}{2}} N^s |k|^{1-j} H\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau,
\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

if $-s-\frac{3}{2}\leq 0$, by using the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.12, we have that (5.6) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{N^{-s-\frac{3}{2}} N^s N^{1-j} H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^2\|H_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

if $-s-\frac{3}{2}\geq 0$, since $s\geq\frac{2-j}{2}$, (5.6) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-s-\frac{3}{2}} N^s |k|^{1-j} H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\prod_{l=1}^2\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq C\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{|k|^{-s-\frac{1}{2}-j} N^s H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_1\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau)\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2}\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}\int_{\substack{k=k_1+k_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^2 H_l}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1\right\|_{L^2}\|H\|_{L^2}\\
&\leq CN^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon}\|H\|_{L^2}\prod_{l=1}^2\|H_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (c) is valid, this case can be proved similarly to case (b).

3. Estimate of I_3. In this case, we have that

$$\frac{|m(k_1+k_2)-m(k_1)m(k_2)|}{m(k_1)m(k_2)}\leq C\prod_{l=1}^2\left(\frac{|k_l|}{N}\right)^{-s}. \tag{5.7}$$

When (a) is valid, by using (5.7) and the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.11, since $\frac{2-j}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k|^3 |k_1|^{-2s} N^{2s} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle k\rangle \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2} \langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-2s-2-j} N^{2s} |k|^{5/2} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle k\rangle \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-2s-\frac{1}{2}-j} N^{2s} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{H_l}{\langle\sigma_l\rangle^{1/2}}\right)\right\|_{L^4_{xt}} \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|H_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (b) is valid, by using (5.7) and the Plancherel identity and the Hölder inequality as well as Lemma 2.12, since $\frac{2-j}{2} \leq s \leq 1$, we have that in this case the left hand side of (5.3) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k|^3 |k_1|^{-2s} N^{2s} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle k\rangle \langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \langle k_l\rangle} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-2s-2-j} N^{2s} |k|^{5/2} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l\tau_l)}{\langle k\rangle \langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{|k_1|^{-2s-\frac{1}{2}-j} N^{2s} H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{H(k,\tau) \prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma\rangle^{1/2} \langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 dk d\tau \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \langle\sigma\rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \int_{\substack{k = k_1 + k_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} \frac{\prod_{l=1}^{2} H_l(k_l,\tau_l)}{\langle\sigma_2\rangle^{1/2}} dk_1 d\tau_1 \right\|_{L^2} \|H\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C N^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} \|H\|_{L^2} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \|H_l\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

When (c) is valid, this case can be proved similarly to case (b).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $\frac{j-2}{2} \leq s < 1$ *and* u *be the solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) on* $[0, \delta]$*. Then*

$$\left|\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} (\partial_x(Iu) \left[I(u_x^2) - (\partial_x Iu)^2\right] dxdt\right| \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} N^{-j} \|Iu\|^3_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{5.8}$$

Lemma 5.2 can be proved similarly to Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $-\frac{j}{2} \leq s < 1$ *and* u *be the solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) on* $[0, \delta]$*. Then*

$$\left|\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} \partial_x(Iu) \left[Iu^2 - (Iu)^2\right] dxdt\right| \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} N^{-j-2} \|Iu\|^3_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}. \tag{5.9}$$

Lemma 5.3 can be proved similarly to Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $\frac{2-j}{2} \leq s < 1$ *and* u *be the solution to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) on* $[0, \delta]$*. Then*

$$\left|\|Iu(\delta)\|^2_{H^1} - \|Iu(0)\|^2_{H^1}\right| \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} N^{-j} \|Iu\|^3_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. By using a proof similar to (4.3) of [26], we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Iu(\delta)\|^2_{H^1} - \|Iu(0)\|^2_{H^1} &= \int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} (1-\partial_x^2)\partial_x(Iu) \left[Iu^2 - (Iu)^2\right] dxdt \\ &+2\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} (\partial_x(Iu) \left[Iu^2 - (Iu)^2\right] dxdt \\ &+\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} (\partial_x(Iu) \left[I(u_x^2) - (\partial_x Iu)^2\right] dxdt\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Proof. To prove (5.11), it suffices to prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\|Iu(\delta)\|^2_{H^1} - \|Iu(0)\|^2_{H^1}\right| &\leq \left|\int_0^\delta \int_{\mathbf{T}} (1-\partial_x^2)\partial_x(Iu) \left[Iu^2 - (Iu)^2\right] dxdt\right| \\ &+2\int_0^\delta \left|\int_{\mathbf{T}} (\partial_x(Iu) \left[Iu^2 - (Iu)^2\right] dxdt\right| \\ &+\left|\int_{\mathbf{T}} (\partial_x(Iu) \left[I(u_x^2) - (\partial_x Iu)^2\right] dxdt\right| \leq C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-2\epsilon} N^{-j} \|Iu\|^3_{X^\delta_{1,\frac{1}{2}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

(5.12) can be obtained from Lemmas 5.1-5.3.

We have completed the proof of Lemma 5.4.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We give Theorem 5.1 which is a variant of Theorem 1.1 before giving the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We consider the Cauchy problem for

$$(Iu)_t + \partial_x^{2j+1}(Iu) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x I(u^2) + \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} I\left[u^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2\right] = 0, \tag{6.1}$$

$$Iu(x,0) = Iu_0(x). \tag{6.2}$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let $s \geq -\frac{j-2}{2}$ and u_0 be 2π-periodic function and zero x-mean and $Iu_0 \in H^1(\mathbf{T})$. Then the Cauchy problems (6.1)(6.2) are locally well-posed.*

Proof. Let $Iu = v$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(v) = \eta(t)S(t)v(0)\\
&+\eta(t)\int_0^t \left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_x I(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2 + \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} I\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_x)^2\right]\right]dt^{'}.
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$B = \left\{u \in Y_1^\delta : \quad \|Iu\|_{Y_1^\delta} \leq 2C\|Iu_0\|_{H^1(\mathbf{T})}\right\}, \tag{6.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
E = {}& \frac{1}{2}\partial_x I(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2 + \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1} I\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)u_x)^2\right]\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\partial_x(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv)^2 - \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1}\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv_x)^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&G(v) = \eta(t)S(t)Iu_0\\
&+\eta(t)\int_0^t \left[E + \partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1}\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv_x)^2\right]\right]dt^{'}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemmas 3.7-3.9, 5.1-5.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|G(v)\|_{Y_1^\delta}\\
&\leq \|\eta(t)S(t)Iu_0\|_{Y_1^\delta} + \left\|\eta(t)\int_0^t \left[\partial_x(1-\partial_x^2)^{-1}\left[(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\eta\left(\frac{t}{\delta}\right)Iv_x)^2\right]\right]dt^{'}\right\|_{Y_1^\delta}\\
&\quad+\left\|\eta(t)\int_0^t Edt^{'}\right\|_{Y_1^\delta}\\
&\leq C\|Iu_0\|_{H^1} + C\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|v\|_{Y_1^\delta}^2 \leq 2C\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, G maps B into B. By using Lemmas 3.7-3.9, 5.1-5.3, we have that

$$\|G(u)-G(v)\|_{Y_1^\delta} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|u-v\|_{Y_1^\delta}.$$

G is a contraction mapping.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. For $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbf{T})$, from Theorem 5.1, we have that u exists on $[0,\delta]$ and

$$\delta \sim \|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^{-\frac{2j+1}{j-3(2j+1)\epsilon}}. \tag{6.4}$$

From Theorem 5.1, we have that

$$\|Iu\|_{Y_1^\delta} \leq 2C\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}. \tag{6.5}$$

Combining (6.5) with Lemma 5.4, we have that

$$\|Iu(\delta)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq \|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^2 + CN^{-j}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^3. \tag{6.6}$$

If

$$CN^{-j}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^3 \leq 3\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^2, \tag{6.7}$$

then, we have that

$$\|Iu(\delta)\|_{H^1} \leq 2\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}, \tag{6.8}$$

thus, we can consider $u(\delta)$ as the initial data, repeat the above process and extend the local solution on $[0,\delta]$ to the local solution on $[\delta,2\delta]$. To extend the local solution to the global on time interval $[0,T]$, we need to extend $[T\delta^{-1}]$ times, from (6.7), it suffices to prove that

$$CN^{-j}\delta^{\frac{j}{2j+1}-3\epsilon}\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^3 T\delta^{-1} \leq 3\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}^2, \tag{6.9}$$

It is easily checked that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \leq \|Iu_0\|_{H^1} \leq CN^{1-s}\|u\|_{H^s}. \tag{6.10}$$

Combining (6.4), (6.10) with (6.9), we have that

$$CTN^{\left[\frac{(2j+1)(1-s)}{j-3(2j+1)\epsilon}\right](1-s)-j}\|u_0\|_{H^s}^{\frac{2j+1}{j-3(2j+1)\epsilon}} \leq 1. \tag{6.11}$$

Let $f(j)=\frac{(2j+1)}{j-3(2j+1)\epsilon}$. To obtain (6.11), it suffices to choose $s>\frac{2j+1-j^2}{2j+1}$ and

$$N=\left(CT\|u_0\|_{H^s}^{f(j)}\right)^{\frac{1}{j-f(j)(1-s)}}. \tag{6.12}$$

From the above iteration process, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s}\le 2\|Iu_0\|_{H^1}\\
&\le CN^{1-s}\|u_0\|_{H^s}\le C\left(CT\|u_0\|_{H^s}^{f(j)}\right)^{\frac{1-s}{j-f(j)(1-s)}}\|u_0\|_{H^s}\\
&\le CT^{\frac{1-s}{j-f(j)(1-s)}}\|u_0\|_{H^s}^{\frac{j}{j-f(j)(1-s)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11171116 and 11401180. The second author is also supported in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China under the grant number 2012ZZ0072. The third author is supported by the NSF of China (No.11371367) and Fundamental research program of NUDT(JC12-02-03).

References

References

[1] J. Bourgain, On the Cauchy problem for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3(1993), 115-159.

[2] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part I: Schrödinger equations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 3(1993), 107-156.

[3] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part II: The KdV equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.,* 3(1993), 209-262.

[4] J. Bourgain, Periodic Korteweg de vries equation with measures as initial data, *Sel. Math.* 3(1997), 115-159.

[5] P.J. Byers, The initial value problem for a KdV-type equation and related bilinear estimate, Dissertation, University of Notre Dame, 2003.

[6] R. Camassa, D. Holm, An integrable shallow water equation with peaked solutions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* 71(1993), 1661C1664.

[7] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao, Sharp global well-posedness for KdV and modified KdV on **R** and **T**, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16(2003), 705-749.

[8] A. Fokas, B. Fuchssteiner, Symplectic structures, their *Bä*klund transformations and hereditary symmetries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.,* 71(1981), 47-66.

[9] J. Gorsky, On the Cauchy problem for a KdV-type equation on the circle, Notre Dame: University of Notre Dame, 2004.

[10] A. Gr*ü*nrock, New applications of the Fourier restriction norm method to well-posedness problemds for nonlinear evolution equations, *Wuppertal: University of Wuppertal, 2002*

[11] A. A. Himonas, G. Misiolek, The Cauchy problem for a shallow water type equation, *Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns.* 23(1998), 123-139.

[12] A. A. Himonas, G. Misiolek, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for a shallow water equation on the circle, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 161(2000), 479-495.

[13] A.A. Himonas, G. Misiolek, The initial value problem for a fifth order shallow water, in: Analysis, Geometry, Number Theory: The Mathematics of Leon Ehrenpreis, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 251, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 309C320

[14] H. Hirayama, LocaL well-posedness for the periodic higher order KdV type equations, *Nonlinear Differential euqtaions and applications,* 19(2012), 677-693.

[15] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 20(2007), 753-798.

[16] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, D. Tataru, Global well-posedness of the KP-I initial-value problem in the energy space, *Invent. Math.* 173(2008), 265-304.

[17] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, Global well-posedness of mKdV in $L^2(T, R)$,*Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 30(2005), 435-449.

[18] T. Kappeler and P. Topalov, Global wellposedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(T, R)$, *Duke Math. J.* 135(2006), 327-360.

[19] X. Liu, Y. Jin, The Cauchy problem of a shallow water equation, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 30(2004), 1-16.

[20] E. A. Olson, Well posedness for a higher order modified Camassa-Holm equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 246(2009), 4154-4172.

[21] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9(1996), 573-603.

[22] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations, *Duke Math. J.* 106(2001), 617-633.

[23] N. Kishimoto, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation at the critical regularity, *Diff. Int. Eqns.* 22(2009), 447-464.

[24] Y. S. Li, W. Yan, X. Y. Yang, Well-posedness of a higher order modified Camassa-Holm equation in spaces of low regularity, *J. Evol. Eqns.* 10(2010), 465-486.

[25] L. Molinet, A note on ill-posedness for the KdV equation, Differential Integral Equations 24 (2011), 759C765.

[26] Y. S. Li, X. Y. Yang, Global well-posedness for a fifth-order shallow water equation on the circle, *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, 31(2011), 1303-1317.

[27] L. Molinet, Sharp ill-posedness results for the KdV and mKdV equations on the torus, Advances in Mathematics, 230(2012), 1895-1930.

[28] J. C. Saut, N. Tzvetov, On the periodic KP-I type equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 221(2001), 451-476.

[29] T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.,* 123(2001), 839-908.

[30] H. Wang, S. B. Cui, Global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem of the fifth-order shallow water equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.,* 230(2006), 600-613.

[31] W. Yan, Y.S. Li, S.M. Li, Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness of a higher-order modified Camassa-Holm equation, *Diff. Int. Eqns.,* 25(2012), 1053-1074.

[32] X. Y. Yang, Y. S. Li, Global well-posedness for a fifth-order shallow water equation in Sobolev spaces, *J. Diff. Eqns.* 248(2010), 1458-1472.